Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/671,849	JIAO ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Antonio A. Caschera	2628
All Participants:	Status of Application: Responding to After-Final Amendment	
(1) Antonio A. Caschera.	(3)	
(2) Anthony B. Diepenbrock.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 30 August 2006	Time: <u>1:00 PM</u>	
Type of Interview: ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:	olicant's representative)	
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed: N/A		
Claims discussed:		
Prior art documents discussed: N/A		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GE See Continuation Sheet	NERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS	S DISCUSSED:
Part III.		
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa directly resulted in the allowance of the application. of the interview in the Notice of Allowability. It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separa did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief sumr 	The examiner will provide a written te record of the substance of the	en summary of the substance interview, since the interview
(Examiner/SPE Signature) (Applic	ant/Applicant's Representative Si	gnature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted Applicant's Representative indicating that claims were in condition for allowance except for 35 USC 101 issues, according to the current practice of the Office, in the independent claims. Specifically, no tangible results are produced from the independent claims. Examiner and Applicant's Representative agreed upon amending the independent claims to recite that the expanded line segment is displayed on the output device which would overcome the 35 USC 101 issues.